

# UNIFIED TREATMENT OF MULTISYMPLECTIC 3-FORMS IN DIMENSION 6

JAROLÍM BUREŠ AND JIŘÍ VANŽURA

ABSTRACT. On a 6-dimensional real vector space  $V$  there are three types of multisymplectic 3-forms. We present in this paper a unified treatment of these three types. Forms of each type represent a subset of  $\Lambda^3 V^*$ . In two cases they are open subsets, in the third one it is a submanifold of codimension 1. We study the geometry of these subsets.

## 0. INTRODUCTION

We shall consider a 6-dimensional real vector space  $V$ . Let us recall that a multisymplectic 3-form on  $V$  is a 3-form  $\omega$  such that the associated homomorphism

$$\kappa : V \rightarrow \Lambda^2 V^*, \quad \kappa v = \iota_v \omega = \omega(v, \cdot, \cdot)$$

is injective. We denote  $\Lambda_{ms}^3 V^*$  the subset of  $\Lambda^3 V^*$  consisting of all multisymplectic forms. It is easy to see that  $\Lambda_{ms}^3 V^*$  is an open subset. The natural action of  $GL(V)$  on  $\Lambda^3 V^*$  preserves  $\Lambda_{ms}^3 V^*$ . It is well known that under this action  $\Lambda_{ms}^3 V^*$  decomposes into three orbits (see e. g. [D], [H]). Two of them are open orbits, the third one is a submanifold of codimension 1. As representatives of these orbits we can take the following 3-forms. (We choose a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_6$  of  $V$ , and we denote  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6$  the corresponding dual basis.)

- (1)  $\omega_+ = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \wedge \alpha_5 \wedge \alpha_6$ ,
- (2)  $\omega_- = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_3 + \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_4 \wedge \alpha_5 + \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_4 \wedge \alpha_6 - \alpha_3 \wedge \alpha_5 \wedge \alpha_6$ ,
- (3)  $\omega_0 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_4 \wedge \alpha_5 + \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_4 \wedge \alpha_6 + \alpha_3 \wedge \alpha_5 \wedge \alpha_6$ .

The open set containing the form  $\omega_+$  ( $\omega_-$ ) we shall denote  $U_+$  ( $U_-$ ), and the codimension 1 submanifold containing  $\omega_0$  we shall denote  $U_0$ . There is also another possible characterization of these orbits. Namely, for any 3-form  $\omega$  we define

$$\Delta^2(\omega) = \{v \in V; (\iota_v \omega) \wedge (\iota_v \omega)\} = 0.$$

In other words, the subset  $\Delta^2(\omega) \subset V$  consists of all vectors  $v \in V$  such that the 2-form  $\iota_v \omega$  is decomposable. A computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^2(\omega_+) &= [e_1, e_2, e_3] \cup [e_4, e_5, e_6], \\ \Delta^2(\omega_-) &= \{0\}, \\ \Delta^2(\omega_0) &= [e_1, e_2, e_3]. \end{aligned}$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C15, 15A75, 20H20.

*Key words and phrases.* multisymplectic 3-form, product structure, complex structure, tangent structure, orbit.

Supported by the Grant Agency of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, grant no. A1019204.

We find easily that

- (1)  $\omega \in U_+$  if and only if  $\Delta^2(\omega)$  consists of the union of two transversal 3-dimensional subspaces.
- (2)  $\omega \in U_-$  if and only if  $\Delta^2(\omega) = \{0\}$ .
- (3)  $\omega \in U_0$  if and only if  $\Delta^2(\omega)$  is a 3-dimensional subspace.

We consider now a multisymplectic 3-form  $\omega$ , and we choose a nonzero 6-form  $\theta$  on  $V$ . It is easy to see that there exists a unique endomorphism  $Q : V \rightarrow V$  such that

$$(*) \quad (\iota_v \omega) \wedge \omega = \iota_{Qv} \theta.$$

We shall now study the form of the endomorphism  $Q$ .

### 1. THE PRODUCT CASE

Let us assume that  $\omega \in U_+$ . Then  $\Delta^2(\omega) = V'_3 \cup V''_3$ , where  $V'_3$  and  $V''_3$  are transversal 3-dimensional subspaces. Our main aim in this case is to prove that after the necessary normalization the endomorphism  $Q$  is a product structure, i. e. it satisfies  $Q^2 = I$ , and its associated subspaces are the subspaces  $V'_3$  and  $V''_3$ .

If  $v \in V'_3$ ,  $v \neq 0$  then applying  $\iota_v$  to  $(*)$ , we get

$$0 = (\iota_v \omega) \wedge (\iota_v \omega) = \iota_v \iota_{Qv} \theta,$$

which shows that the vectors  $v$  and  $Qv$  are linearly dependent. This means that there is a function  $\lambda_1 : V'_3 - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $Qv = \lambda_1(v)v$  for every  $v \in V'_3 - \{0\}$ . It is easy to see that the function  $\lambda_1$  is constant. Namely, taking two linearly independent vectors  $v_1, v_2 \in V'_3$ , we get

$$\lambda_1(v_1 + v_2)v_1 + \lambda_1(v_1 + v_2)v_2 = Q(v_1 + v_2) = Q(v_1) + Q(v_2) = \lambda_1(v_1)v_1 + \lambda_1(v_2)v_2,$$

which implies that  $\lambda(v_1) = \lambda(v_2)$ . Consequently, we have  $Qv = \lambda_1 v$  for every  $v \in V'_3$ . Similarly we find that there is a constant  $\lambda_2$  such that  $Qv = \lambda_2 v$  for every  $v \in V''_3$ . Now, we are going to prove that  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0$ . We shall need the following lemma.

**1.1. Lemma.** *If  $\omega \in U_+$ ,  $v' \in V'_3$  and  $v'' \in V''_3$ , then  $\iota_{v'} \iota_{v''} \omega = 0$ .*

*Proof.* The lemma is obvious for the form  $\omega_+$ . But then it holds for every form  $\omega \in U_+$ .

Let us take two vectors  $v' \in V'_3$  and  $v'' \in V''_3$ ,  $v' \neq 0$ ,  $v'' \neq 0$ . We have

$$(\iota_{v'} \omega) \wedge \omega = \iota_{Qv'} \theta = \lambda_1 \iota_{v'} \theta.$$

Applying  $\iota_{v''}$  to the above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\iota_{v''} \iota_{v'} \omega) \wedge \omega + (\iota_{v'} \omega) \wedge (\iota_{v''} \omega) &= \lambda_1 \iota_{v''} \iota_{v'} \theta \\ (\iota_{v'} \omega) \wedge (\iota_{v''} \omega) &= \lambda_1 \iota_{v''} \iota_{v'} \theta. \end{aligned}$$

Along the same lines we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\iota_{v''} \omega) \wedge \omega &= \iota_{Qv''} \theta = \lambda_2 \iota_{v''} \theta \\ (\iota_{v'} \iota_{v''} \omega) \wedge \omega + (\iota_{v''} \omega) \wedge (\iota_{v'} \omega) &= \lambda_2 \iota_{v'} \iota_{v''} \theta \\ (\iota_{v''} \omega) \wedge (\iota_{v'} \omega) &= \lambda_2 \iota_{v'} \iota_{v''} \theta. \end{aligned}$$

From the last two results we obtain

$$0 = (\iota_{v'}\omega) \wedge (\iota_{v''}\omega) - (\iota_{v''}\omega) \wedge (\iota_{v'}\omega) = \lambda_1 \iota_{v''}\iota_{v'}\theta - \lambda_2 \iota_{v'}\iota_{v''}\theta = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \iota_{v''}\iota_{v'}\theta,$$

which implies  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0$ . We set now  $\lambda = \lambda_1 = -\lambda_2$ . Obviously  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Otherwise we would have  $\Delta^2(\omega) = V$ , which is a contradiction. Further, we get  $Q^2 = \lambda^2 I$ . Now we can see that the automorphisms

$$S_+ = \frac{1}{\lambda}Q \text{ and } S_- = -\frac{1}{\lambda}Q \text{ satisfy } S_+^2 = I \text{ and } S_-^2 = I,$$

i. e. they define product structures on  $V$ , and  $S_- = -S_+$ . Setting

$$\theta_+ = \lambda\theta, \quad \theta_- = -\lambda\theta,$$

we get

$$(\iota_v\omega) \wedge \omega = \iota_{S_+v}\theta_+, \quad (\iota_v\omega) \wedge \omega = \iota_{S_-v}\theta_-.$$

In the sequel we shall denote  $S = S_+$  and  $\theta = \theta_+$ . The same results which are valid for  $S_+$  hold also for  $S_-$ .

**1.2. Lemma.** *If  $v' \in V'_3$ ,  $v' \neq 0$ , then the kernel  $K(\iota_{v'}\omega)$  of the 2-form  $\iota_{v'}\omega$  equals to  $[v', V'_3]$ . If  $v'' \in V''_3$ ,  $v'' \neq 0$ , then the kernel  $K(\iota_{v''}\omega)$  of the 2-form  $\iota_{v''}\omega$  equals to  $[v'', V''_3]$ .*

*Proof.* If  $v' \in V'_3$ ,  $v' \neq 0$ , then the 2-form  $\iota_{v'}\omega$  is a nonzero decomposable form. Consequently  $\dim K(\iota_{v'}\omega) = 4$ . Obviously  $v' \in K(\iota_{v'}\omega)$ , and by virtue of Lemma 1.1 also any vector from  $V''$  belongs to  $K(\iota_{v'}\omega)$ . This proves that  $K(\iota_{v'}\omega) = [v', V'_3]$ . The second assertion follows along the same lines.

**1.3. Lemma.** *For any  $v \in V$  there is  $\iota_{Sv}\iota_v\omega = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let us assume that  $S|V'_3 = I$  and  $S|V''_3 = -I$ . Then for arbitrary  $v = v' + v''$  with  $v' \in V'_3$  and  $v'' \in V''_3$  we have

$$\iota_{Sv}\iota_v\omega = \iota_{S(v'+v'')}\iota_{v'+v''}\omega = \iota_{v'-v''}\iota_{v'+v''}\omega = 2\iota_{v'}\iota_{v''}\omega = 0.$$

**1.4. Proposition.** *There exists a unique (up to the sign) product structure  $S \neq I$  on  $V$  such that the form  $\omega$  satisfies the relation*

$$\omega(Sv_1, v_2, v_3) = \omega(v_1, Sv_2, v_3) = \omega(v_1, v_2, Sv_3) \quad \text{for any } v_1, v_2, v_3 \in V.$$

*Proof.* We shall prove first that the product structure  $S$  defined above satisfies this relation. According to the above lemma we have  $\iota_v\iota_{Sv}\omega = 0$  for any  $v \in V$ . Therefore we have

$$0 = \omega(S(v_1 + v_2), v_1 + v_2, v_3) = \omega(Sv_1, v_2, v_3) + \omega(Sv_2, v_1, v_3),$$

which implies

$$\omega(Sv_1, v_2, v_3) = \omega(v_1, Sv_2, v_3).$$

The second equality now easily follows. Obviously, the opposite product structure  $-S$  satisfies the same relation. It remains to prove that there is no other product

structure with the same property. Let  $\tilde{S}$  be another product structure with the above property. Then there is a unique automorphism  $A : V \rightarrow V$  such that  $\tilde{S} = SA$ . We have then

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(v_1, \tilde{S}v_2, v_3) &= \omega(v_1, v_2, \tilde{S}v_3) \\ \omega(v_1, SA v_2, v_3) &= \omega(v_1, v_2, SA v_3) \\ \omega(Sv_1, Av_2, v_3) &= \omega(Sv_1, v_2, Av_3) \\ (\iota_{SA v_1} \omega)(Av_2, v_3) &= (\iota_{Sv_1} \omega)(v_2, Av_3).\end{aligned}$$

Because  $S$  is an automorphism we get the equality

$$(\iota_{v_1} \omega)(Av_2, v_3) = (\iota_{v_1} \omega)(v_2, Av_3).$$

Let us take a vector  $v'_1 \in V'_3$ . Then for any  $v'_2 \in V'_3$  we have

$$0 = (\iota_{v'_1} \omega)(Av'_2, v'_1) = (\iota_{v'_1} \omega)(v'_2, Av'_1).$$

Because  $v'_2$  is arbitrary, we can see that  $Av'_1$  belongs to the kernel  $K(\iota_{v'} \omega)$ . This means that there is  $\lambda(v'_1) \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $v'' \in V''_3$  such that  $Av'_1 = \lambda(v'_1)v'_1 + v''$ . Now we can easily see that there is  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and a homomorphism  $\varphi : V'_3 \rightarrow V''_3$  such that

$$Av'_1 = \lambda v'_1 + \varphi v'_1$$

for every  $v'_1 \in V'_1$ . Similarly we find  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and a homomorphism  $\psi : V''_3 \rightarrow V'_3$  such that

$$Av''_1 = \mu v''_1 + \psi v''_1$$

for every  $v''_1 \in V''_1$ . Taking a fixed  $v'_2 \in V'_3$  and arbitrary  $v''_1, v''_3 \in V''_3$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}(\iota_{v'_1} \omega)(Av'_2, v''_3) &= (\iota_{v'_1} \omega)(v'_2, Av''_3) \\ (\iota_{v'_1} \omega)(\varphi v'_2, v''_3) &= 0, \\ (\iota_{\varphi v'_2} \omega)(v''_1, v''_3) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

For any  $v'_1, v'_3 \in V'_3$  we have by virtue of Lemma 1.1

$$(\iota_{\varphi v'_2} \omega)(v'_1, v''_3) = 0, \quad (\iota_{\varphi v'_2} \omega)(v'_1, v'_3) = 0,$$

which together with the preceding result shows that  $\iota_{\varphi v'_2} \omega = 0$ . The form  $\omega$  is multisymplectic and consequently  $\varphi v'_2 = 0$ . We have thus shown that  $\varphi = 0$ . Similarly we find that  $\psi = 0$ . This proves that  $AV'_3 \subset V'_3$ ,  $AV''_3 \subset V''_3$  and that  $A|_{V'_3} = \lambda I$ ,  $A|_{V''_3} = \mu I$ . Because  $\tilde{S}^2 = I$ , we find easily that  $\lambda = \pm 1$  and  $\mu = \pm 1$ . Now the proof easily follows.

## 2. THE COMPLEX CASE

In this section we present only the relevant results. Proofs can be found in [PV].

Let  $\omega$  be a 3-form on  $V$  such that  $\Delta^2(\omega) = \{0\}$ . This means that for any  $v \in V$ ,  $v \neq 0$  there is  $(\iota_v \omega) \wedge (\iota_v \omega) \neq 0$ . This implies that  $\text{rank}(\iota_v \omega) \geq 4$ . On the other hand obviously  $\text{rank}(\iota_v \omega) \leq 4$ . Consequently, for any  $v \neq 0$   $\text{rank}(\iota_v \omega) = 4$ . Thus the kernel  $K(\iota_v \omega)$  of the 2-form  $\iota_v \omega$  has dimension 2. Moreover  $v \in K(\iota_v \omega)$ . We have

$$(\iota_v \omega) \wedge \omega = \iota_{Qv} \theta.$$

If  $v \neq 0$  then  $(\iota_v \omega) \wedge \omega \neq 0$ , and this shows that  $Q$  is an automorphism. It is also obvious that if  $v \neq 0$ , then the vectors  $v$  and  $Qv$  are linearly independent (apply  $\iota_v$  to the last equality).

**2.1. Lemma.** *For any  $v \in V$  there is  $\iota_{Qv}\iota_v\omega = 0$ , i. e.  $Qv \in K(\iota_v\omega)$ .*

This lemma shows that if  $v \neq 0$ , then  $K(\iota_v\omega) = [v, Qv]$ . Applying  $\iota_{Qv}$  to the equality  $(\iota_v\omega) \wedge \omega = \iota_{Qv}\theta$  and using the last lemma we obtain easily the following result.

**2.2. Lemma.** *For any  $v \in V$  there is  $(\iota_v\omega) \wedge (\iota_{Qv}\omega) = 0$ .*

Lemma 2.1 shows that  $v \in K(\iota_{Qv}\omega)$ . Because  $v$  and  $Qv$  are linearly independent, we can see that

$$K(\iota_{Qv}\omega) = [v, Qv] = K(\iota_v\omega).$$

It can be proved that that there is  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $Q^2 = -\lambda^2 I$ . We can now see that the automorphisms

$$J_+ = \frac{1}{\lambda}Q \text{ and } J_- = -\frac{1}{\lambda}Q \text{ satisfy } J_+^2 = -I \text{ and } J_-^2 = -I,$$

i. e. they define complex structures on  $V$ , and  $J_- = -J_+$ . Setting

$$\theta_+ = \lambda\theta, \quad \theta_- = -\lambda\theta$$

we get

$$(\iota_v\omega) \wedge \omega = \iota_{J_+v}\theta_+, \quad (\iota_v\omega) \wedge \omega = \iota_{J_-v}\theta_-.$$

In the sequel we shall denote  $J = J_+$  and  $\theta = \theta_+$ . The same results which are valid for  $J_+$  hold also for  $J_-$ .

**2.3. Lemma.** *There exists a unique (up to the sign) complex structure  $J$  on  $V$  such that the form  $\omega$  satisfies the relation*

$$\omega(Jv_1, v_2, v_3) = \omega(v_1, Jv_2, v_3) = \omega(v_1, v_2, Jv_3) \quad \text{for any } v_1, v_2, v_3 \in V.$$

### 3. THE TANGENT CASE

Let us assume that  $\omega \in U_0$ . We denote  $V_0 = \Delta^2(\omega)$ . If  $v \in V_0$ ,  $v \neq 0$ , then applying  $\iota_v$  to (\*), we get

$$0 = (\iota_v\omega) \wedge (\iota_v\omega) = \iota_v\iota_{Qv}\theta,$$

which shows again that the vectors  $v$  and  $Qv$  are linearly dependent. Consequently, there exists a function  $\lambda : V_0 - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $Qv = \lambda(v)v$  for any  $v \in V_0 - \{0\}$ . It is easy to see that this function is constant. We shall need the following two lemmas.

**3.1. Lemma.** *For any  $\alpha \in V^*$  we have  $(\iota_v\omega) \wedge \omega \wedge \alpha = -\alpha(Qv)\theta$ .*

*Proof.* For a fixed  $\alpha \in V^*$  there exists a unique  $l_\alpha \in V^*$  such that

$$(\iota_v\omega) \wedge \omega \wedge \alpha = l_\alpha(v)\theta.$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\iota_{Qv}\theta) \wedge \alpha &= l_\alpha(v)\theta \\ \iota_{Qv}(\theta \wedge \alpha) - \alpha(Qv)\theta &= l_\alpha(v)\theta \\ -\alpha(Qv)\theta &= l_\alpha(v)\theta \\ -\alpha(Qv) &= l_\alpha(v), \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof.

**3.2. Lemma.** *Let  $\alpha \in V^*$  be such that  $\alpha|_{V_0} = 0$ . Then we have  $(\iota_v \omega) \wedge \omega \wedge \alpha = 0$ .*

*Proof.* The formula can be verified for the form  $\omega_0$  by a direct computation. But then it must be true for any 3-form  $\omega \in U_0$ .

Using these two lemmas, we get for any 1-form  $\alpha$  with  $\alpha|_{V_0} = 0$

$$0 = (\iota_v \omega) \wedge \omega \wedge \alpha = -\alpha(Qv)\theta,$$

which shows that  $\alpha(Qv) = 0$ . We have thus proved that for any  $v \in V$  we have  $Qv \in V_0$ , i. e.  $\text{im } Q \subset V_0$ . Further, for any  $v \in V$  we have  $Q^2v = Q(Qv) = \lambda Qv$ . This shows that the endomorphism  $Q$  satisfies the equation

$$Q(Q - \lambda I) = 0.$$

Our next aim is to prove that the above constant  $\lambda$  is zero. Let us assume on the contrary that  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Then there are subspaces  $R_0, R_\lambda \subset V$  such that

$$V = R_0 \oplus R_\lambda, \quad Q|_{R_0} = 0, Q|_{R_\lambda} = \lambda I.$$

Obviously, both these subspaces are nontrivial.  $R_0 \neq 0$  because  $\ker Q \subset R_0$ , and  $R_\lambda \neq 0$  because  $R_\lambda \supset V_0$ . On the other hand for any  $v \in R_0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\iota_v \omega) \wedge \omega &= \iota_{Qv} \theta = 0 \\ (\iota_v \omega) \wedge (\iota_v \omega) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $v \in V_0$ . Consequently, we get the inclusion  $R_0 \subset V_0 \subset R_\lambda$ , which is a contradiction. We have thus proved that  $\lambda = 0$  and that  $Q^2 = 0$ . Because for every  $v \notin V_0$  we have  $Qv \neq 0$  (otherwise we would have  $v \in V_0$ ), it is easy to see that  $\text{im } Q = \ker Q = V_0$ . The endomorphisms  $Q$  satisfying  $Q^2 = 0$  are in differential geometry usually called tangent structures, and very often they are denoted by  $T$ . But because we would have here already too many  $T$ 's, we have decided to introduce the notation  $F = Q$ . We shall call the endomorphism  $F$  tangent structure. Let us remark that when speaking about tangent structure, we always assume that  $F^2 = 0$  and  $\text{im } F = \ker F$ .

**3.3. Lemma.** *For any  $v \in V$  we have  $\iota_v \iota_{Fv} \omega = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We start with the equality

$$(\iota_v \omega) \wedge \omega = \iota_{Fv} \theta.$$

Applying  $\iota_{Fv}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\iota_{Fv} \iota_v \omega) \wedge \omega + (\iota_v \omega) \wedge (\iota_{Fv} \omega) &= 0 \\ -(\iota_v \iota_{Fv} \omega) \wedge \omega + (\iota_v \omega) \wedge (\iota_{Fv} \omega) &= 0 \\ -\iota_v (\iota_{Fv} \omega \wedge \omega) + 2(\iota_v \omega) \wedge (\iota_{Fv} \omega) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Applying  $\iota_v$  we have

$$(\iota_v \omega) \wedge (\iota_v \iota_{Fv} \omega) = 0.$$

If the 1-form  $\iota_v \iota_{Fv} \omega$  were not zero, then it would exist a 1-form  $\sigma$  such that  $\iota_v \omega = \sigma \wedge \iota_v \iota_{Fv} \omega$ , and we would get

$$(\iota_v \omega) \wedge (\iota_v \omega) = \sigma \wedge (\iota_v \iota_{Fv} \omega) \wedge \sigma \wedge (\iota_v \iota_{Fv} \omega) = 0$$

for every  $v \in V$ , which is a contradiction.

**3.4. Lemma.** *For any three vectors  $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in V$  we have*

$$\omega(Fv_1, v_2, v_3) = \omega(v_1, Fv_2, v_3) = \omega(v_1, v_2, Fv_3).$$

*Proof.* By virtue of Lemma 3.3 we have

$$0 = \omega(v_1 + v_2, F(v_1 + v_2), v_3) = \omega(v_1, Fv_2, v_3) + \omega(v_2, Fv_1, v_3),$$

which implies

$$\omega(Fv_1, v_2, v_3) = \omega(v_1, Fv_2, v_3).$$

The rest of the proof is easy.

Let us notice that the construction of the tangent struture  $F$  depends on the choice of the 6-form  $\theta$ . Any other nonzero 6-form is a nonzero real multiple  $a\theta$  and the relevant construction gives the tangent structure  $(1/a)F$ . In other words, the 3-form  $\omega \in U_0$  determines a tangent structure up to a nonzero real multiple.

We shall now show another possibility how to obtain these tangent structures. It is easy to see that if  $v, v'$  are two vectors from the subspace  $V_0(\omega_0) = [e_1, e_2, e_3]$ , then  $\iota_v \iota_{v'} \omega_0 = 0$ . Consequently, we have the following lemma.

**3.5. Lemma.** *Let  $\omega \in U_0$ . Then for any two vectors  $v, v' \in V_0 = \Delta^2(\omega)$  we have  $\iota_v \iota_{v'} \omega = 0$ .*

**3.6. Lemma.** *Let  $R_3 \subset V$  be a 3-dimensional subspace such that for any two vectors  $v, v' \in R_3$  there is  $\iota_v \iota_{v'} \omega = 0$ . Then  $R_3 = \text{im } F$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v, v' \in R_3$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\iota_{v'} \omega) \wedge \omega &= \iota_{Fv'} \theta \\ (\iota_v \iota_{v'} \omega) \wedge \omega + (\iota_{v'} \omega) \wedge (\iota_v \omega) &= \iota_v \iota_{Fv'} \theta \\ (\iota_{v'} \omega) \wedge (\iota_v \omega) &= \iota_v \iota_{Fv'} \theta. \end{aligned}$$

Because the left hand side of this equality is symmetric with respect to  $v$  and  $v'$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_v \iota_{Fv'} \theta &= \iota_{v'} \iota_{Fv} \theta \\ \theta(Fv', v, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) &= \theta(Fv, v', \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) \\ \theta(Fv, v', \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) &= -\theta(v, Fv', \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) \end{aligned}$$

for any two vectors  $v, v' \in R_3$ .

Let us assume first that  $R_3 \cap \text{im } F$  is 0-dimensional. Then, taking a basis  $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in R_3$ , we get a basis  $v_1, v_2, v_3, Fv_1, Fv_2, Fv_3$  of  $V$ , and consequently we have  $\theta(v_1, v_2, v_3, Fv_1, Fv_2, Fv_3) \neq 0$ . We take the vectors  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_1, Fv_2, Fv_3$ . Applying the last formula, we get

$$0 \neq \omega(Fv_1, v_2, v_3, v_1, Fv_2, Fv_3) = -\omega(v_1, Fv_2, v_3, v_1, Fv_2, Fv_3) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

Next, let us assume that  $R_3 \cap \text{im } F$  is 1-dimensional. Obviously  $FR_3$  is 2-dimensional. Then there are two possibilities. (1) Either  $FR_3 \supset R_3 \cap \text{im } F$ . Then there are vectors  $v_1, v_2 \in R_3$  such that  $v_1, v_2, Fv_1$  is a basis of  $R_3$ . Then we can find a vector  $v_3$  such that  $v_1, v_2, Fv_1, v_3, Fv_2, Fv_3$  is a basis of  $V$ . Taking the vectors  $v_1, v_2, v_1, v_3, Fv_2, Fv_3$  and applying the above formula, we get

$$0 \neq \theta(Fv_1, v_2, v_1, v_3, Fv_2, Fv_3) = -\theta(v_1, Fv_2, v_1, v_3, Fv_2, Fv_3) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. (2) Or  $(FR_3) \cap (R_3 \cap \text{im } F) = 0$ . Then we can take a basis of  $R_3$  in the form  $v_1, v_2, Fv_3$ , and we can complete it to a basis  $v_1, v_2, Fv_3, Fv_1, Fv_2, v_3$  of  $V$ . This time we take the vectors  $v_1, v_2, Fv_3, v_1, Fv_2, v_3$  and we apply the same formula.

$$0 \neq \theta(Fv_1, v_2, Fv_3, v_1, Fv_2, v_3) = -\theta(v_1, Fv_2, Fv_3, v_1, Fv_2, v_3) = 0,$$

which is again a contradiction.

It remains to consider the case when  $R_3 \cap \text{im } F$  is 2-dimensional. Then there are again two possibilities. (1) Either  $(FR_3) \cap (R_3 \cap \text{im } F) \neq 0$ . Then we can take a basis of  $R_3$  in the form  $v_1, Fv_1, Fv_2$ , and we can complete it to a basis  $v_1, Fv_1, Fv_2, v_2, v_3, Fv_3$ . We take the vectors  $v_1, v_2, v_1, v_3, Fv_2, Fv_3$  and we apply again the formula.

$$0 \neq \theta(Fv_1, v_2, v_1, v_3, Fv_2, Fv_3) = -\theta(v_1, Fv_2, v_1, v_3, Fv_2, Fv_3) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. (2) Or  $(FR_3) \cap (R_3 \cap \text{im } F) = 0$ . Then we take a basis of  $R_3$  in the form  $v_1, Fv_2, Fv_3$ , and we complete it to a basis  $v_1, Fv_2, Fv_3, Fv_1, v_2, v_3$ . Then, taking the vectors  $v_1, Fv_2, Fv_3, v_1, v_2, v_3$  we get in the same way as above

$$0 \neq \omega(Fv_1, Fv_2, Fv_3, v_1, v_2, v_3) = -\omega(v_1, F^2v_2, Fv_3, v_1, v_2, v_3) = 0,$$

and we get again a contradiction. In this way we have proved that  $R_3 = \text{im } F$ .

**3.7. Lemma.** *Let  $\tilde{F} : V \rightarrow V$  be a tangent structure (i. e. an endomorphism satisfying  $\tilde{F}^2 = 0$  and  $\text{im } \tilde{F} = \ker \tilde{F}$ ) such that*

$$\omega(\tilde{F}v_1, v_2, v_3) = \omega(v_1, \tilde{F}v_2, v_3) = \omega(v_1, v_2, \tilde{F}v_3).$$

*Then  $\text{im } \tilde{F} = \text{im } F$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that the 3-dimensional subspace  $\text{im } \tilde{F}$  has the property described in the preceding lemma. Any two vectors  $v, v' \in \text{im } \tilde{F}$  can be expressed in the form  $v = \tilde{F}w, v' = \tilde{F}w'$ . Then we have

$$\iota_v \iota_{v'} \omega = \iota_{\tilde{F}w} \iota_{\tilde{F}w'} \omega = \omega(\tilde{F}w', \tilde{F}w, \cdot) = \omega(\tilde{F}^2w', w, \cdot) = 0.$$

**3.8. Proposition.** *Let  $\omega \in U_0$ . Then there exists (up to a nonzero multiple) a unique tangent structure  $F$  such that*

$$\omega(Fv_1, v_2, v_3) = \omega(v_1, Fv_2, v_3) = \omega(v_1, v_2, Fv_3)$$

*for all  $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in V$ .*



*Proof.* Let  $F$  and  $\tilde{F}$  be two tangent structures with the above property. We introduce on  $V$  two 3-forms by setting

$$\sigma_F(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \omega(Fv_1, v_2, v_3), \quad \sigma_{\tilde{F}}(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \omega(\tilde{F}v_1, v_2, v_3).$$

Because by virtue of the preceding lemma  $V_0 = \ker F = \ker \tilde{F}$ , it is obvious that if  $v \in V_0$ , then  $\iota_v \sigma_F = 0$  and  $\iota_v \sigma_{\tilde{F}} = 0$ . This implies that there exist two 3-forms  $s_F$  and  $s_{\tilde{F}}$  on  $V/V_0$  such that

$$\sigma_F = \pi^* s_F, \quad \sigma_{\tilde{F}} = \pi^* s_{\tilde{F}},$$

where  $\pi : V \rightarrow V/V_0$  is the projection. The tangent structures  $F$  and  $\tilde{F}$  induce isomorphisms

$$f : V/V_0 \rightarrow V_0, \quad \tilde{f} : V/V_0 \rightarrow V_0.$$

We denote  $A : V/V_0 \rightarrow V/V_0$  the automorphism  $A = f^{-1}\tilde{f}$ . For any three vectors  $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in V$  we find

$$s_{\tilde{F}}(\pi v_1, \pi v_2, \pi v_3) = \sigma_{\tilde{F}}(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \omega(\tilde{F}v_1, v_2, v_3) = \omega(\tilde{f}\pi v_1, v_2, v_3).$$

We remind that the last term makes sense because  $\tilde{f}\pi v_1 \in V_0$ . Further we have

$$\omega(\tilde{f}\pi v_1, v_2, v_3) = \omega(fA\pi v_1, v_2, v_3).$$

Let us choose an element  $w_1 \in V$  such that  $\pi w_1 = A\pi v_1$ . Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(fA\pi v_1, v_2, v_3) &= \omega(f\pi w_1, v_2, v_3) = \omega(Fw_1, v_2, v_3) = \\ &= \sigma_F(w_1, v_2, v_3) = s_F(A\pi v_1, \pi v_2, \pi v_3). \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding in this way we obtain the relations

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\tilde{F}}(\pi v_1, \pi v_2, \pi v_3) &= s_F(A\pi v_1, \pi v_2, \pi v_3), \\ s_{\tilde{F}}(\pi v_1, \pi v_2, \pi v_3) &= s_F(\pi v_1, A\pi v_2, \pi v_3), \\ s_{\tilde{F}}(\pi v_1, \pi v_2, \pi v_3) &= s_F(\pi v_1, \pi v_2, A\pi v_3), \end{aligned}$$

and the relation

$$s_F(A\pi v_1, \pi v_2, \pi v_3) = s_F(\pi v_1, A\pi v_2, \pi v_3) = s_F(\pi v_1, \pi v_2, A\pi v_3).$$

Because the 3-form  $s_F$  is nontrivial and because the homomorphism  $\kappa : V \rightarrow \Lambda^2 V^*$  induces an isomorphism  $\kappa_0 : V_0 \rightarrow \Lambda^2(V/V_0)^*$ , we can see that for any 2-form  $\alpha$  on  $V/V_0$  and any two vectors  $z_1, z_2 \in V/V_0$  we have

$$\alpha(Az_1, z_2) = \alpha(z_1, Az_2).$$

Let now  $z \in V/V_0$  be arbitrary, and let us take 1-forms  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in (V/V_0)^*$  such that  $\beta_1(z) = \beta_2(z) = 0$ . We shall consider the 2-form  $\beta_1 \wedge \beta_2$ . For any vector  $z' \in V/V_0$  we have

$$(\beta_1 \wedge \beta_2)(Az, z') = (\beta_1 \wedge \beta_2)(z, Az') = 0,$$

which shows that there is  $\lambda(z) \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $Az = \lambda(z)z$ . Moreover, it can be easily seen that the function  $\lambda(z)$  is a nonzero constant. We thus get  $A = \lambda I$  and this finishes the proof.

Choosing a nonzero 3-form  $\eta \in \Lambda^3(V/V_0)^*$ , we can define an isomorphism  $V/V_0 \rightarrow \Lambda^2(V/V_0)^*$  by  $w \mapsto \iota_w \eta$ . Similarly, the monomorphism  $\kappa : V \rightarrow \Lambda^2 V^*$ ,  $\kappa v = \iota_v \omega$  induces an isomorphism  $\kappa_0 : V_0 \rightarrow \Lambda^2(V/V_0)^*$ . We take now the following chain of homomorphisms

$$V \xrightarrow{\pi} V/V_0 \rightarrow \Lambda^2(V/V_0)^* \xrightarrow{\kappa_0^{-1}} V_0.$$

We denote this composition by  $C$ .

**3.9. Lemma.** *The homomorphism  $C$  is a tangent structure satisfying  $C^2 = 0$ ,  $\text{im } C = \ker C$  and the relation*

$$\omega(Cv_1, v_2, v_3) = \omega(v_1, Cv_2, v_3) = \omega(v_1, v_2, Cv_3)$$

for every  $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in V$ .

*Proof.* Let us take any tangent structure  $F$  with the above properties, and let us define a 3-form  $\sigma_F(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \omega(Fv_1, v_2, v_3)$  as before. There is a unique 3-form  $s_F$  on  $V/V_0$  such that  $\sigma_F = \pi^* s_F$ , where  $\pi : V \rightarrow V/V_0$  is the projection. Obviously there is a nonzero  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\eta = as_F$ . For any  $v, v', v'' \in V$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(Cv, v', v'') &= \eta(\pi v, \pi v', \pi v'') = as_F(\pi v, \pi v', \pi v'') = \\ &= a\omega(Fv, v', v'') = \omega(aFv, v', v''), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $C = aF$ . This finishes the proof.

#### 4. ORBIT OF FORMS OF THE PRODUCT TYPE

This is the orbit  $U_+$ , which represents an open submanifold in  $\Lambda^3 V^*$ . We take a point  $\zeta \in U_+$ . For the tangent space at this point we have  $T_\zeta U_+ = \Lambda^3 V^*$ . Obviously, fixing a volume form  $\theta_0$  on  $V$ , we can choose for each  $\zeta \in U_+$  an appropriate volume form  $\theta(\zeta)$  (out of the two differing by the sign) such that  $\theta(\zeta) = a\theta_0$  with  $a > 0$ . This means that we choose at the same time at each point  $\zeta \in U_+$  a product structure  $P(\zeta) \in \text{Aut}(V)$ . In other words, we can consider over  $U_+$  a trivial vector bundle  $\mathcal{V}$  with the fiber  $V$ , and on this vector bundle we have a tensor field  $P$  of type  $(1,1)$  satisfying  $P^2 = I$ ,  $\dim \ker(P - I) = 3$ , and  $\dim \ker(P + I) = 3$ . Our aim is to define a product structure on  $T_\zeta U_+$ . We shall try to define such a product structure by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{P}(\zeta)\Omega)(v_1, v_2, v_3) &= a\Omega(Pv_1, Pv_2, Pv_3) + \\ &+ b[\Omega(Pv_1, Pv_2, v_3) + \Omega(Pv_1, v_2, Pv_3) + \Omega(v_1, Pv_2, Pv_3)] + \\ &+ c[\Omega(Pv_1, v_2, v_3) + \Omega(v_1, Pv_2, v_3) + \Omega(v_1, v_2, Pv_3)] + \\ &+ d\Omega(v_1, v_2, v_3) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\Omega \in T_\zeta U_+$ . Here  $P$  denotes  $P(\zeta)$ . It is a matter of computation to prove

**4.1. Proposition.**  *$\mathcal{P}(\zeta)$  satisfies  $\mathcal{P}(\zeta)^2 = \mathcal{I}$  if and only if the quadruple  $(a, b, c, d)$  is equal to one of the following 16 quadruples*

$$\begin{aligned} &(\pm 1, 0, 0, 0), \quad (\pm \frac{1}{2}, 0, \mp \frac{1}{2}, 0), \quad (0, \pm \frac{1}{2}, 0, \mp \frac{1}{2}), \quad (0, 0, 0, \pm 1), \\ &(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{3}{4}), \quad (\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}), \quad (-\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}), \quad (-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{3}{4}), \\ &(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}), \quad (\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}), \quad (-\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}), \quad (-\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}). \end{aligned}$$

We can define subbundles

$$\mathcal{V}_1 = \ker(P - I), \quad \mathcal{V}_2 = \ker(P + I)$$

satisfying  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \mathcal{V}_2$ . This decomposition enables to introduce in the standard way forms of type  $(r, s)$ . We denote by the symbol  $\mathcal{D}^{r,s}$  the subbundle of the bundle  $\Lambda^*\mathcal{V}$  consisting of forms of type  $(r, s)$ . Now, it is obvious that the tangent bundle of  $U_+$  can be expressed as a direct sum of four subbundles (distributions)

$$TU_+ = \mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3},$$

where  $\dim \mathcal{D}^{3,0} = \dim \mathcal{D}^{0,3} = 1$ ,  $\dim \mathcal{D}^{2,1} = \dim \mathcal{D}^{1,2} = 9$ . Let us denote  $\pi_1 : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_1$  and  $\pi_2 : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_2$  the projections. If  $\zeta \in U_+$ , we can define vectors  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in T_\zeta U_+$  by the formulas

$$\zeta_1 = \pi_1^*(\zeta|_{\mathcal{V}_1}), \quad \zeta_2 = \pi_2^*(\zeta|_{\mathcal{V}_2}).$$

Now we can define vector fields  $\omega, \omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  on  $U_+$  by  $\omega_\zeta = \zeta, \omega_1\zeta = \zeta_1$  and  $\omega_2\zeta = \zeta_2$ . Obviously,  $\omega = \omega_1 + \omega_2$ .

To each quadruple  $(a, b, c, d)$  from Proposition 4.1 there correspond a product structure  $\mathcal{P}$  and a subbundle  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \ker(\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{I})$ . Routine considerations show that the correspondence  $(a, b, c, d) \mapsto \mathcal{V}_1$  is the following one.

$$\begin{array}{ll} (1, 0, 0, 0) \mapsto \mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2} & (-1, 0, 0, 0) \mapsto \mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3} \\ (\frac{1}{2}, 0, -\frac{1}{2}, 0) \mapsto \mathcal{D}^{1,2} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3} & (-\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0) \mapsto \mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{2,1} \\ (0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, -\frac{1}{2}) \mapsto \mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3} & (0, -\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}) \mapsto \mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2} \\ (0, 0, 0, 1) \mapsto \mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3} & (0, 0, 0, -1) \mapsto 0 \\ (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{3}{4}) \mapsto \mathcal{D}^{3,0} & (\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}) \mapsto \mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2} \\ (-\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}) \mapsto \mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3} & (-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{3}{4}) \mapsto \mathcal{D}^{0,3} \\ (\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}) \mapsto \mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3} & (\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}) \mapsto \mathcal{D}^{1,2} \\ (-\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}) \mapsto \mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3} & (-\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}) \mapsto \mathcal{D}^{2,1} \end{array}$$

In the sequel we are going to investigate the integrability of all these distributions. Our first result is easy because the distributions  $\mathcal{D}^{3,0}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^{0,3}$  are 1-dimensional.

**4.2. Proposition.** *The distribution  $\mathcal{D}^{3,0}$  ( $\mathcal{D}^{0,3}$ ) is generated by the vector field  $\omega_1$  ( $\omega_2$ ). The distributions  $\mathcal{D}^{3,0}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^{0,3}$  are integrable.*

Now we shall introduce on  $U_+$  a flat connection  $\nabla$ , which is the restriction of the canonical connection on the vector space  $\Lambda^3 V^*$ . Notice that for any vector field  $\Omega$  on  $U_+$  we have  $\nabla_\Omega \omega = \Omega$ . We shall need the following three lemmas.

**4.3. Lemma.** *Let  $\tilde{\Omega}$  be a vector field on  $U_+$  belonging to  $\mathcal{D}^{3,0}$  ( $\mathcal{D}^{2,1}, \mathcal{D}^{1,2}, \mathcal{D}^{0,3}$ ). Further, let  $\Omega$  be arbitrary vector field on  $U_+$ . Then*

$$\nabla_\Omega \tilde{\Omega} \in \mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus (\mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2}, \mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3}, \mathcal{D}^{1,2} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Theta$  be a section of the trivial vector bundle  $\mathcal{V}^*$  over  $U_+$ . Then for any vector field  $\Omega$  on  $U_+$  we have

$$\nabla_\Omega \Theta \in \mathcal{D}^{1,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,1}.$$

Now the assertion of the lemma easily follows.

**4.4. Lemma.** *If  $\Omega$  belongs to the distribution  $\mathcal{D}^{3,0}$  ( $\mathcal{D}^{0,3}$ ), then we have*

$$\nabla_{\Omega}\omega_1 = \Omega, \quad \nabla_{\Omega}\omega_2 = 0 \quad (\nabla_{\Omega}\omega_1 = 0, \quad \nabla_{\Omega}\omega_2 = \Omega).$$

*If  $\Omega$  belongs to the distribution  $\mathcal{D}^{2,1}$  ( $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}$ ), then we have again*

$$\nabla_{\Omega}\omega_1 = \Omega, \quad \nabla_{\Omega}\omega_2 = 0 \quad (\nabla_{\Omega}\omega_1 = 0, \quad \nabla_{\Omega}\omega_2 = \Omega).$$

*Proof.* We start with the equality  $\omega_1 + \omega_2 = \omega$ . If  $\Omega$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}^{3,0}$ , then applying  $\nabla_{\Omega}$  to this equality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\Omega}\omega_1 + \nabla_{\Omega}\omega_2 &= \Omega \\ (\nabla_{\Omega}\omega_1)^{3,0} + (\nabla_{\Omega}\omega_1)^{2,1} + (\nabla_{\Omega}\omega_2)^{1,2} + (\nabla_{\Omega}\omega_2)^{0,3} &= \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where the superscripts denote the corresponding component. Because  $\Omega$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}^{3,0}$  we obtain the first assertion. The remaining assertions follow along the same lines.

**4.5. Lemma.** *A vector field  $\Omega$  belongs to the distribution  $\mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{2,1}$  ( $\mathcal{D}^{1,2} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3}$ ) if and only if*

$$\nabla_{\Omega}\omega_2 = 0 \quad (\nabla_{\Omega}\omega_1 = 0).$$

*Proof.* If  $\Omega$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{2,1}$  we know that the above condition is satisfied. Conversely, let us assume that the condition is satisfied. We have

$$\Omega = \Omega^{3,0} + \Omega^{2,1} + \Omega^{1,2} + \Omega^{0,3},$$

and we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \nabla_{\Omega}\omega_2 &= \nabla_{\Omega^{3,0}}\omega_2 + \nabla_{\Omega^{2,1}}\omega_2 + \nabla_{\Omega^{1,2}}\omega_2 + \nabla_{\Omega^{0,3}}\omega_2 = \\ &= \nabla_{\Omega^{1,2}}\omega_2 + \nabla_{\Omega^{0,3}}\omega_2 = \Omega^{1,2} + \Omega^{0,3}, \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof.

**4.6. Proposition.** *The distributions  $\mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{2,1}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3}$  are integrable.*

*Proof.* Let two vector fields  $\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}$  belong to the distribution  $\mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{2,1}$ . Then we have  $\nabla_{\Omega}\omega_2 = \nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}}\omega_2 = 0$ , and we obtain

$$\nabla_{[\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}]} \omega_2 = \nabla_{\Omega} \nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} \omega_2 - \nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} \nabla_{\Omega} \omega_2 = 0$$

because the connection  $\nabla$  is flat. Along the same lines we can prove the integrability of the distribution  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3}$ .

The following lemma is obvious.

**4.7. Lemma.** *A vector field  $\Omega$  belongs to the distribution  $\mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2}$  if and only if  $\Omega \wedge \omega = 0$ .*

**4.8. Proposition.** *The distribution  $\mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2}$  is not integrable.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}$  lie in  $\mathcal{D}^{2,1}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}$ , respectively. Then we have  $\Omega \wedge \omega = 0$  and  $\tilde{\Omega} \wedge \omega = 0$ . Hence we obtain

$$(\nabla_{\Omega}\tilde{\Omega}) \wedge \omega + \tilde{\Omega} \wedge \Omega = 0, \quad (\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}}\Omega) \wedge \omega + \Omega \wedge \tilde{\Omega} = 0.$$

Subtracting these two equalities, we have

$$[\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}] \wedge \omega = 2\Omega \wedge \tilde{\Omega}.$$

Now it suffices to choose  $\Omega$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}$  in such a way that  $\Omega_{\zeta} \wedge \tilde{\Omega}_{\zeta} \neq 0$  at some point  $\zeta \in U_+$ . Then it is obvious that the bracket  $[\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}]$  does not lie in  $\mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2}$ .

**4.9. Proposition.** *The distributions  $\mathcal{D}^{2,1}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}$  are integrable.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}$  be two vector fields lying in  $\mathcal{D}^{2,1}$ . Proceeding in the same way as in the proof of preceding lemma we find again

$$[\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}] \wedge \omega = 2\Omega \wedge \tilde{\Omega}.$$

But this time  $\Omega \wedge \tilde{\Omega} = 0$ , which shows that  $[\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}]$  lies in  $\mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2}$ . Moreover, we have

$$\nabla_{[\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}]} \omega_2 = \nabla_{\Omega} \nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} \omega_2 - \nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} \nabla_{\Omega} \omega_2 = 0,$$

which shows that  $[\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}]$  lies in  $\mathcal{D}^{2,1}$ .

**4.10. Proposition.** *There is  $[\omega_1, \omega_2] = 0$  and the distribution  $\mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3}$  is integrable.*

*Proof.* We have

$$\nabla_{[\omega_1, \omega_2]} \omega_1 = \nabla_{\omega_1} \nabla_{\omega_2} \omega_1 - \nabla_{\omega_2} \nabla_{\omega_1} \omega_1 = 0 - \nabla_{\omega_2} \omega_1 = 0,$$

which shows that  $[\omega_1, \omega_2]$  lies in  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3}$ . Along the same lines we can show that  $[\omega_1, \omega_2]$  lies in  $\mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{2,1}$ . This implies that  $[\omega_1, \omega_2] = 0$  and that the distribution  $\mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3}$  is integrable.

**4.11. Proposition.** *For any vector field  $\Omega$  lying in  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}$  ( $\mathcal{D}^{2,1}$ ) the vector field  $[\omega_1, \Omega]$  ( $[\omega_2, \Omega]$ ) lies again in  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}$  ( $\mathcal{D}^{2,1}$ ). Consequently the distributions  $\mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3}$  are integrable.*

*Proof.* Let us assume that  $\Omega$  lies in  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}$ . Then we have

$$\nabla_{[\omega_1, \Omega]} \omega_1 = \nabla_{\omega_1} \nabla_{\Omega} \omega_1 - \nabla_{\Omega} \nabla_{\omega_1} \omega_1 = 0 - \nabla_{\Omega} \omega_1 = 0,$$

which proves that  $[\omega_1, \Omega]$  lies in  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3}$ . Because  $\Omega$  lies in  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}$ , there is  $\Omega \wedge \omega = 0$ . Applying  $\nabla_{\omega_1}$  to this equality we find that

$$0 = (\nabla_{\omega_1} \Omega) \wedge \omega + \Omega \wedge \nabla_{\omega_1} \omega = (\nabla_{\omega_1} \Omega) \wedge \omega + \Omega \wedge \omega_1.$$

Obviously  $\Omega \wedge \omega_1 = 0$ , and this shows that  $\nabla_{\omega_1} \Omega$  lies in  $\mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2}$ . But we can immediately see that

$$[\omega_1, \Omega] = \nabla_{\omega_1} \Omega - \nabla_{\Omega} \omega_1 = \nabla_{\omega_1} \Omega.$$

Consequently  $[\omega_1, \Omega]$  lies not only in  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3}$ , but also in  $\mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2}$ . This implies that  $[\omega_1, \Omega]$  lies in  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}$ .

**4.12. Proposition.** *The distributions  $\mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3}$  are integrable. The distributions  $\mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3}$  are not integrable.*

*Proof.* The first assertion is easy to prove. Therefore, let us consider the distribution  $\mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2}$ . We shall take the same vector fields  $\Omega$  lying in  $\mathcal{D}^{2,1}$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}$  lying in  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}$  as in the proof of Proposition 4.8. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}] \wedge \omega_1 &= (\nabla_{\Omega} \tilde{\Omega}) \wedge \omega_1 - (\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} \Omega) \wedge \omega_1 = \\ &= \nabla_{\Omega}(\tilde{\Omega} \wedge \omega_1) - \tilde{\Omega} \wedge (\nabla_{\Omega} \omega_1) - \nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}}(\Omega \wedge \omega_1) + \Omega \wedge (\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} \omega_1) = \\ &= -\tilde{\Omega} \wedge \Omega = \Omega \wedge \tilde{\Omega}. \end{aligned}$$

At the same point  $\zeta \in U_+$  as in the proof of Proposition 4.8 we have  $\Omega_{\zeta} \wedge \tilde{\Omega}_{\zeta} \neq 0$ , which shows that  $[\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}]_{\zeta}^{0,3} \neq 0$ . This proves that the distribution under consideration is not integrable.

We can summarize our results.

**4.13. Proposition.** *The distributions*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \mathcal{D}^{3,0}, & \mathcal{D}^{2,1}, & \mathcal{D}^{1,2}, & \mathcal{D}^{0,3} & & \\ \mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{2,1}, & \mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2}, & \mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3}, & \mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3}, & \mathcal{D}^{1,2} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3} & & \\ & \mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3}, & \mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3} & & & & \end{array}$$

*are integrable. The distributions*

$$\mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2}, \quad \mathcal{D}^{3,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2}, \quad \mathcal{D}^{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{1,2} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,3}$$

*are not integrable.*

**4.14. Remark.** Requiring  $\dim \ker(\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{I}) = \dim \ker(\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{I}) = 10$  we have only four possibilities how to define a product structure  $\mathcal{P}$ . It is easy to see that these product structures correspond to the quadruples

$$(1, 0, 0, 0), \quad (-1, 0, 0, 0), \quad \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, -\frac{1}{2}, 0\right), \quad \left(-\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right).$$

Because all the distributions associated with these projectors are integrable, in all these cases the Nijenhuis tensor  $[\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}] = 0$ .

## 5. ORBIT OF FORMS OF THE COMPLEX TYPE

Here we shall study the orbit  $U_-$ , which also represents an open submanifold in  $\Lambda^3 V^*$ . Taking a point  $\zeta \in U_-$ , we have  $T_{\zeta} U_- = \Lambda^3 V^*$ . Fixing again a volume form  $\theta_0$  on  $V$ , we can choose for each  $\zeta \in U_-$  an appropriate volume form  $\theta(\zeta)$  (out of the two differing by the sign) such that  $\theta(\zeta) = a\theta_0$  with  $a > 0$ . This enables us to choose at each point  $\zeta \in U_-$  a complex structure  $J(\zeta) \in \text{Aut}(V)$ . In other words, this time we have on the trivial vector bundle  $\mathcal{V}$  a tensor field  $J$  of type  $(1, 1)$

satisfying  $J^2 = -I$ . We shall again try to define a complex structure on  $T_\zeta U_-$  by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{J}(\zeta)\Omega)(v_1, v_2, v_3) &= a\Omega(Jv_1, Jv_2, Jv_3) + \\ &+ b[\Omega(Jv_1, Jv_2, v_3) + \Omega(Jv_1, v_2, Jv_3) + \Omega(v_1, Jv_2, Jv_3)] + \\ &+ c[\Omega(Jv_1, v_2, v_3) + \Omega(v_1, Jv_2, v_3) + \Omega(v_1, v_2, Jv_3)] + \\ &+ d\Omega(v_1, v_2, v_3) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\Omega \in T_\zeta U_-$ .

**5.1. Proposition.**  $\mathcal{J}(\zeta)$  satisfies  $\mathcal{J}(\zeta)^2 = -I$  if and only if the quadruple  $(a, b, c, d)$  is equal to one of the following 4 quadruples

$$(\pm 1, 0, 0, 0), \quad (\pm \frac{1}{2}, 0, \pm \frac{1}{2}, 0).$$

The proof is a simple computation and will be omitted. We shall denote

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{J}_1(\zeta)\Omega)(v_1, v_2, v_3) &= \Omega(J(\zeta)v_1, J(\zeta)v_2, J(\zeta)v_3) \\ (\mathcal{J}_2(\zeta)\Omega)(v_1, v_2, v_3) &= \frac{1}{2}\Omega(J(\zeta)v_1, J(\zeta)v_2, J(\zeta)v_3) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}[\Omega(J(\zeta)v_1, v_2, v_3) + \Omega(v_1, J(\zeta)v_2, v_3) + \Omega(v_1, v_2, J(\zeta)v_3)]. \end{aligned}$$

The mapping  $\zeta \in U_- \mapsto J_1(\zeta)$  (resp.  $\zeta \in U_- \mapsto J_2(\zeta)$ ) defines an almost complex structure  $\mathcal{J}_1$  (resp.  $\mathcal{J}_2$ ) on the orbit  $U_-$ .

**5.2. Proposition.** *The almost complex structure  $\mathcal{J}_2$  is integrable.*

*Proof.* We denote again by  $\nabla$  the canonical connection on  $\Lambda^3 V^*$ . Let  $\Omega$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}$  be two vector fields on  $U_-$ . Applying  $\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}}$  to the identity  $J^2 = -I$ , we get

$$(\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} J)J + J(\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} J) = 0.$$

Further, we shall use the identity

$$\omega(Jv_1, v_2, v_3) = \omega(v_1, Jv_2, v_3),$$

and apply to it the covariant derivative  $\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}}$ . We obtain

$$\tilde{\Omega}(Jv_1, v_2, v_3) + \omega((\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} J)v_1, v_2, v_3) = \tilde{\Omega}(v_1, Jv_2, v_3) + \omega(v_1, (\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} J)v_2, v_3).$$

Substituting now  $Jv_2$  instead of  $v_2$  and  $(\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} J)v_3$  instead of  $v_3$ , we get the relation

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\Omega}(Jv_1, Jv_2, (\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} J)v_3) = \\ &-\tilde{\Omega}(v_1, v_2, (\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} J)v_3) - \omega((\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} J)v_1, Jv_2, (\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} J)v_3) - \omega(Jv_1, (\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} J)v_2, (\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} J)v_3). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we obtain the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\Omega}(Jv_1, (\nabla_{\Omega} J)v_2, Jv_3) = \\
& -\tilde{\Omega}(v_1, (\nabla_{\Omega} J)v_2, v_3) - \omega(Jv_1, (\nabla_{\Omega} J)v_2, (\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} J)v_3) - \omega((\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} J)v_1, (\nabla_{\Omega} J)v_2, Jv_3), \\
& \tilde{\Omega}((\nabla_{\Omega} J)v_1, Jv_2, Jv_3) = \\
& -\tilde{\Omega}((\nabla_{\Omega} J)v_1, v_2, v_3) - \omega((\nabla_{\Omega} J)v_1, (\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} J)v_2, Jv_3) - \omega((\nabla_{\Omega} J)v_1, Jv_2, (\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} J)v_3).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us compute now

$$\begin{aligned}
2(\nabla_{\Omega}(\mathcal{J}\tilde{\Omega}))(v_1, v_2, v_3) &= 2\nabla_{\Omega}((\mathcal{J}\tilde{\Omega})(v_1, v_2, v_3)) = \nabla_{\Omega}(\tilde{\Omega}(Jv_1, Jv_2, Jv_3) + \\
& + [\tilde{\Omega}(Jv_1, v_2, v_3) + \tilde{\Omega}(v_1, Jv_2, v_3) + \tilde{\Omega}(v_1, v_2, Jv_3)]) = (\nabla_{\Omega}\tilde{\Omega})(Jv_1, Jv_2, Jv_3) + \\
& + (\nabla_{\Omega}\tilde{\Omega})(Jv_1, v_2, v_3) + (\nabla_{\Omega}\tilde{\Omega})(v_1, Jv_2, v_3) + (\nabla_{\Omega}\tilde{\Omega})(v_1, v_2, Jv_3) + \\
& + \tilde{\Omega}((\nabla_{\Omega} J)v_1, Jv_2, Jv_3) + \tilde{\Omega}(Jv_1, (\nabla_{\Omega} J)v_2, Jv_3) + \tilde{\Omega}(Jv_1, Jv_2, (\nabla_{\Omega} J)v_3) + \\
& + \tilde{\Omega}((\nabla_{\Omega} J)v_1, v_2, v_3) + \tilde{\Omega}(v_1, (\nabla_{\Omega} J)v_2, v_3) + \tilde{\Omega}(v_1, v_2, (\nabla_{\Omega} J)v_3) = \\
& = 2(\mathcal{J}\nabla_{\Omega}\tilde{\Omega})(v_1, v_2, v_3) - \\
& - \omega((\nabla_{\Omega} J)v_1, (\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} J)v_2, Jv_3) - \omega((\nabla_{\Omega} J)v_1, Jv_2, (\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} J)v_3) \\
& - \omega(Jv_1, (\nabla_{\Omega} J)v_2, (\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} J)v_3) - \omega((\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} J)v_1, (\nabla_{\Omega} J)v_2, Jv_3) \\
& - \omega((\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} J)v_1, Jv_2, (\nabla_{\Omega} J)v_3) - \omega(Jv_1, (\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} J)v_2, (\nabla_{\Omega} J)v_3).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the previous relations. Let us notice that the expression consisting of the last six terms is symmetric with respect to  $\Omega$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}$ . Consequently we obtain

$$\nabla_{\Omega}(\mathcal{J}\tilde{\Omega}) - \nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}}(\mathcal{J}\Omega) = \mathcal{J}(\nabla_{\Omega}\tilde{\Omega} - \nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}}\Omega) = \mathcal{J}[\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}].$$

Writing  $\mathcal{J}\Omega$  instead of  $\Omega$ , we get

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{J}\Omega}(\mathcal{J}\tilde{\Omega}) = -\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}}\Omega + \mathcal{J}[\mathcal{J}\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}].$$

Interchanging  $\Omega$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}$  we get the relation

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{J}\tilde{\Omega}}(\mathcal{J}\Omega) = -\nabla_{\Omega}\tilde{\Omega} + \mathcal{J}[\mathcal{J}\tilde{\Omega}, \Omega].$$

Subtracting these last two relations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{J}\Omega, \mathcal{J}\tilde{\Omega}] &= [\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}] + \mathcal{J}[\mathcal{J}\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}] - \mathcal{J}[\mathcal{J}\tilde{\Omega}, \Omega] \\
[\mathcal{J}\Omega, \mathcal{J}\tilde{\Omega}] - [\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}] - \mathcal{J}[\mathcal{J}\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}] + \mathcal{J}[\mathcal{J}\tilde{\Omega}, \Omega] &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that the Nijenhuis tensor  $[\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}] = 0$ .

**5.3. Remark.** The almost complex structure  $\mathcal{J}_2$  was introduced in quite different way by N. Hitchin in [H]. He also proved the integrability and some other properties of  $\mathcal{J}_2$ .



## 6. ORBIT OF FORMS OF THE TANGENT TYPE

Here we shall investigate the last orbit  $U_0$ , which represents a submanifold of codimension 1 in  $\Lambda^3 V^*$ . Let  $\zeta \in U_0$  be arbitrary point, and let us denote  $V_0(\zeta) = \Delta^2(\zeta)$ . We shall introduce three subspaces  $\mathcal{D}_i(\zeta) \subset V$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$  in the following way:

$$\mathcal{D}_i(\zeta) = \{\Omega \in T_\zeta U_0; \Omega(v_1, v_2, v_3) = 0 \text{ if the vectors } v_1, \dots, v_i \text{ belong to } V_0(\zeta)\}.$$

It is easy to verify that  $\dim \mathcal{D}_1 = 1$ ,  $\dim \mathcal{D}_2 = 10$ ,  $\dim \mathcal{D}_3 = 19$ . Moreover, it is obvious that

$$\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_2 \subset \mathcal{D}_3.$$

We describe first the tangent spaces to the orbit  $U_0$ . It is obvious that the projection

$$\pi_\zeta : GL(6, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow U_0, \quad \pi_\zeta(\varphi) = \varphi^* \zeta$$

admits a smooth local section  $\sigma$  defined on an open neighborhood  $W$  of  $\zeta$  and such that  $\sigma(\zeta) = 1$ . For any  $\omega \in W$  we have then

$$\omega = \sigma(\omega)^* \zeta.$$

Let  $\gamma : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow W$  be a smooth curve such that  $\gamma(0) = \zeta$ . We have then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(t) &= \sigma(\gamma(t))^* \zeta \\ \gamma(t)(v_1, v_2, v_3) &= \zeta(\sigma(\gamma(t))v_1, \sigma(\gamma(t))v_2, \sigma(\gamma(t))v_3), \end{aligned}$$

where  $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in V$  are arbitrary. Differentiating the last equality at  $t = 0$ , we get

$$\Omega(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \zeta(Av_1, v_2, v_3) + \zeta(v_1, Av_2, v_3) + \zeta(v_1, v_2, Av_3),$$

where  $\Omega = (d/dt)_{t=0} \gamma(t)$  and  $A = (d/dt)_{t=0} \sigma(\gamma(t))$ .

**6.1. Proposition.** *There is  $T_\zeta U_0 = \mathcal{D}_3(\zeta)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\Omega \in T_\zeta U_0$ , then according to the above formula there is  $\Omega \in \mathcal{D}_3(\zeta)$  because  $\zeta(v, v', v'') = 0$  if two entries belong to  $V_0(\zeta)$ . We have therefore  $T_\zeta U_0 \subset \mathcal{D}_3(\zeta)$ . Because  $\dim T_\zeta U_0 = 19$  and  $\dim \mathcal{D}_3(\zeta) = 19$ , we get  $T_\zeta U_0 = \mathcal{D}_3(\zeta)$ .

It is obvious that it makes no sense to use in the future the notation  $\mathcal{D}_3(\zeta)$ . The following lemma can be easily verified for the form  $\omega_0$ . But then it necessarily holds for any form  $\zeta \in U_0$

**6.2. Lemma.** *There is*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_2(\zeta) &= \{\Omega \in T_\zeta U_0; \Omega \wedge (\iota_v \zeta) = 0 \text{ for every } v \in V_0(\zeta)\} = \\ &= \{\Omega \in T_\zeta U_0; \Omega \wedge \beta \wedge \beta' = 0 \text{ for any } \beta, \beta' \in V^* \text{ such that } \beta|_{V_0(\zeta)} = \beta'|_{V_0(\zeta)} = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

On  $U_0$  we have the trivial 6-dimensional vector bundle  $\mathcal{V}$  with fiber  $V$ , and we can define a 3-dimensional vector subbundle  $\mathcal{V}_0$  whose fiber at  $\zeta$  is  $V_0(\zeta)$ . We denote  $\mathcal{W}$  the 3-dimensional quotient vector bundle  $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}_0$ . Moreover, assigning to each point  $\zeta \in U_0$  the vector space  $\mathcal{D}_i(\zeta)$ , we obtain over  $U_0$  a vector bundle  $\mathcal{D}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

In other words we have two distributions  $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_2 \subset TU_0$ . Furthermore, we have on  $U_0$  an everywhere non-zero vector field  $\omega$  defined by the formula  $\omega_\zeta = \zeta$ , i. e. assigning to a point  $\zeta \in U_0$  the vector  $\zeta$ . This vector field  $\omega$  lies in the distribution  $\mathcal{D}_2$ . It is easy to see that the 1-dimensional distribution  $\mathcal{I}$  generated by the vector field  $\omega$  and the 1-dimensional distribution  $\mathcal{D}_1$  are transversal.

Fixing a volume form  $\theta_0 \in \Lambda^6 V^*$ , we get for each  $\zeta \in U_0$  a tangent structure  $F(\zeta)$ . Namely, this tangent structure can be determined by the formula

$$(\iota_v \zeta) \wedge \zeta = \iota_{F(\zeta)v} \theta_0.$$

For any 3-form  $\Omega \in \Lambda^3 V^*$  we can then define

$$(D_{F(\zeta)} \Omega)(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \Omega(F(\zeta)v_1, v_2, v_3) + \Omega(v_1, F(\zeta)v_2, v_3) + \Omega(v_1, v_2, F(\zeta)v_3).$$

It is obvious that if  $\Omega \in T_\zeta U_0$ , then also  $D_F \Omega \in T_\zeta U_0$ . Consequently, on  $T_\zeta U_0$  we can define an endomorphism  $\mathcal{N}(\zeta)$  by the formula  $\mathcal{N}(\zeta) = D_{F(\zeta)}$ . In this way we get on  $U_0$  a tensor field  $\mathcal{N}$  of type  $(1, 1)$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{N}^3 = 0$ .

Our main aim in this section will be to prove the following proposition.

**6.3. Proposition.** *On  $U_0$  we have the following chain of distributions:*

$$\text{im } \mathcal{N}^2 \subset \ker \mathcal{N} \subset \text{im } \mathcal{N} \subset \ker \mathcal{N}^2,$$

where  $\text{im } \mathcal{N}^2 = \mathcal{D}_1$  and  $\text{im } \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{D}_2$ . The distributions  $\text{im } \mathcal{N}^2$ ,  $\ker \mathcal{N}$ , and  $\text{im } \mathcal{N}$  are integrable. The distribution  $\ker \mathcal{N}^2$  is not integrable.

**6.4. Remark.** If  $A \in \text{End}(V)$  is arbitrary we can define  $D_A \Omega$  for any  $\Omega \in \Lambda^k V^*$  by the formula

$$(D_F \Omega)(v_1, \dots, v_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k \Omega(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, Av_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_k).$$

It is well known that  $D_A$  is a derivation on the graded algebra  $\Lambda^* V^*$ .

We shall first investigate the subspace  $\text{im } \mathcal{N}^2$ . Let  $\Omega \in \text{im } \mathcal{N}^2(\zeta)$ . If  $\Omega = \mathcal{N}^2(\zeta) \tilde{\Omega}$ , then we have

$$\Omega(v_1, v_2, v_3) = 2(\tilde{\Omega}(Fv_1, Fv_2, v_3) + \tilde{\Omega}(Fv_1, v_2, Fv_3) + \tilde{\Omega}(v_1, Fv_2, Fv_3)),$$

where  $F = F(\zeta)$ . It is easy to see that if one of the entries  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  belongs to  $V_0(\zeta)$ , then  $\Omega(v_1, v_2, v_3) = 0$ , or in other words,  $\Omega \in \mathcal{D}_1(\zeta)$ . Because obviously  $\text{im } \mathcal{N}^2 \neq 0$ , we get easily the following lemma. (Notice that  $\dim \text{im } \mathcal{N}^2 = 1$ .)

**6.5. Proposition.** *There is  $\text{im } \mathcal{N}^2 = \mathcal{D}_1$  and  $\text{im } \mathcal{N}^2 \subset \ker \mathcal{N}$ . The distribution  $\text{im } \mathcal{N}^2 \subset TU_0$  is integrable.*

Next, we shall consider the subspace  $\mathcal{D}_2(\zeta)$ . It is obvious that for any  $\Omega \in \mathcal{D}_2(\zeta)$  the correspondence  $v \in V_0(\zeta) \mapsto \iota_v \Omega$  defines a homomorphism

$$\kappa_\Omega : V_0(\zeta) \rightarrow \Lambda^2 W(\zeta)^*.$$

We have obvious formulas

$$\kappa_{\Omega+\tilde{\Omega}} = \kappa_{\Omega} + \kappa_{\tilde{\Omega}}, \quad \kappa_{a\Omega} = a\kappa_{\Omega}$$

for any  $\Omega, \tilde{\Omega} \in \mathcal{D}_2(\zeta)$  and any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Using the isomorphism  $\kappa_{\zeta} : V_0(\zeta) \rightarrow \Lambda^2 W(\zeta)^*$ , we can define a homomorphism

$$k_{\Omega} : \mathcal{D}_2(\zeta) \rightarrow \text{End}(V_0(\zeta)), \quad k_{\Omega}(v) = \kappa_{\zeta}^{-1} \kappa_{\Omega}(v).$$

It is easy to see that  $\ker k_{\Omega} = \mathcal{D}_1(\zeta)$ . Consequently, we get a monomorphism

$$K_{\Omega} : \mathcal{D}_2(\zeta)/\mathcal{D}_1(\zeta) \rightarrow \text{End}(V_0(\zeta)).$$

Because  $\dim \mathcal{D}_2(\zeta)/\mathcal{D}_1(\zeta) = \dim \text{End}(V_0(\zeta)) = 9$ , we can see that  $K_{\Omega}$  is an isomorphism.

**6.6. Proposition.** *There is  $\text{im } \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{D}_2$  and  $\dim \text{im } \mathcal{N} = 10$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\Omega = \mathcal{N}(\zeta)\hat{\Omega}$ , where  $\hat{\Omega} \in T_{\zeta}U_0$ , we have

$$\Omega(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \hat{\Omega}(F(\zeta)v_1, v_2, v_3) + \hat{\Omega}(v_1, F(\zeta)v_2, v_3) + \hat{\Omega}(v_1, v_2, F(\zeta)v_3),$$

and it is obvious that  $\Omega \in \mathcal{D}_2(\zeta)$ . This shows that  $\text{im } \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{D}_2$ .

Conversely, let us assume that  $\Omega \in \mathcal{D}_2(\zeta)$ . We choose a basis  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  of  $V_0(\zeta)$ , and we denote  $\pi(\zeta) : V \rightarrow W(\zeta)$  the projection. Because  $\Omega \in \mathcal{D}_2(\zeta)$ , there exist 2-forms  $\tilde{\Omega}_1, \tilde{\Omega}_2, \tilde{\Omega}_3 \in \Lambda^2 W(\zeta)^*$  such that

$$\iota_{v_i} \Omega = \pi(\zeta)^* \tilde{\Omega}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Let us take now 1-forms  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in V^*$  such that  $\beta_i(v_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . We shall consider a 3-form

$$\hat{\Omega} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \beta_i \wedge \pi(\zeta)^* \tilde{\Omega}_i.$$

Now we can easily see that  $\iota_v(\Omega - \hat{\Omega}) = 0$  for any  $v \in V_0(\zeta)$ , or in other words  $\Omega - \hat{\Omega} \in \mathcal{D}_1 = \text{im } \mathcal{N}^2$ . This means that there is a 3-form  $\bar{\Omega} \in T_{\zeta}U_0$  such that  $\Omega - \hat{\Omega} = \mathcal{N}^2(\zeta)\bar{\Omega}$ .

Let us consider the monomorphism  $\pi(\zeta)^* : \Lambda^* W(\zeta)^* \rightarrow \Lambda^* V^*$ . It is easy to see that  $\pi(\zeta)^* W(\zeta)^*$  has a basis  $D_{F(\zeta)}\beta_1, D_{F(\zeta)}\beta_2, D_{F(\zeta)}\beta_3$ , and that

$$D_{F(\zeta)}^2 \beta_1 = D_{F(\zeta)}^2 \beta_2 = D_{F(\zeta)}^2 \beta_3 = 0.$$

It is obvious that any 2-form  $\Omega' \in \pi(\zeta)^* \Lambda^2 W(\zeta)^*$  belongs to  $\text{im } D_{F(\zeta)}^2$ . Consequently, we can find 2-forms  $\Omega'_1, \Omega'_2, \Omega'_3$  such that

$$\pi(\zeta)^* \tilde{\Omega}_i = D_{F(\zeta)}^2 \Omega'_i.$$

We have then

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\Omega} &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \beta_i \wedge \pi(\zeta)^* \tilde{\Omega}_i = \sum_{i=1}^3 \beta_i \wedge D_{F(\zeta)}^2 \Omega'_i = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^3 D_{F(\zeta)}(\beta_i \wedge D_{F(\zeta)} \Omega'_i) - \sum_{i=1}^3 D_{F(\zeta)} \beta_i \wedge D_{F(\zeta)} \Omega'_i = \\
&= D_{F(\zeta)} \sum_{i=1}^3 \beta_i \wedge D_{F(\zeta)} \Omega'_i - \sum_{i=1}^3 D_{F(\zeta)}(D_{F(\zeta)} \beta_i \wedge \Omega'_i) = \\
&= D_{F(\zeta)} \sum_{i=1}^3 (\beta_i \wedge D_{F(\zeta)} \Omega'_i - D_{F(\zeta)} \beta_i \wedge \Omega'_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we can see that  $\Omega \in \text{im } \mathcal{N}(\zeta)$ , which finishes the proof.

**6.7. Proposition.** *There is the inclusion  $\ker \mathcal{N} \subset \text{im } \mathcal{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega \in \ker \mathcal{N}(\zeta)$ . Then we have (we write  $F$  instead of  $F(\zeta)$ )

$$\Omega(Fv_1, v_2, v_3) + \Omega(v_1, Fv_2, v_3) + \Omega(v_1, v_2, Fv_3) = 0.$$

Using this relation we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega(Fv_1, Fv_2, v_3) &= -\Omega(v_1, F^2 v_2, v_3) - \Omega(v_1, Fv_2, Fv_3) = -\Omega(v_1, Fv_2, Fv_3) \\
\Omega(Fv_1, Fv_2, v_3) &= -\Omega(F^2 v_1, v_2, v_3) - \Omega(Fv_1, v_2, Fv_3) = -\Omega(Fv_1, v_2, Fv_3)
\end{aligned}$$

Adding these two relations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
2\Omega(Fv_1, Fv_2, v_3) &= -\Omega(v_1, Fv_2, Fv_3) - \Omega(Fv_1, v_2, Fv_3), \\
\Omega(Fv_1, Fv_2, v_3) &= -\Omega(Fv_1, Fv_2, v_3) - \Omega(Fv_1, v_2, Fv_3) - \Omega(v_1, Fv_2, Fv_3) = \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} D_F^2 \Omega(v_1, v_2, v_3) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\Omega \in \mathcal{D}_2(\zeta)$ .

**6.8. Proposition.** *Let  $\zeta \in U_0$ . Then  $\Omega \in T_\zeta U_0$  belongs to  $\ker \mathcal{N}^2$  if and only if  $\zeta \wedge \Omega = 0$ . Moreover  $\dim \ker \mathcal{N}^2 = 18$ .*

*Proof.* Let us choose vectors  $v, v', v'' \in V$  such that  $Fv, Fv', Fv'', v, v', v''$  is a basis of  $V$ . (We denote for simplicity  $F = F(\zeta)$ .) We shall consider the value  $(\zeta \wedge \Omega)(Fv, Fv', Fv'', v, v', v'')$ . (We recall that  $\zeta(w, w', \cdot) = 0$  if  $w, w' \in V_0(\zeta)$ ,  $\zeta(w, Fw, \cdot) = 0$  for any  $w \in V$ , and  $\Omega|_{V_0(\zeta)} = 0$ .) We get

$$\begin{aligned}
(\zeta \wedge \Omega)(Fv, Fv', Fv'', v, v', v'') &= \zeta(Fv, v', v'') \Omega(Fv', Fv'', v) + \\
&+ \zeta(Fv', v, v'') \Omega(Fv, Fv'', v') + \zeta(Fv'', v, v') \Omega(Fv, Fv', v'') = \\
&= \zeta(Fv, v', v'') [\Omega(Fv, Fv', v'') + \Omega(Fv, v', Fv'') + \Omega(v, Fv', Fv'')].
\end{aligned}$$

Because  $\zeta(Fv, v', v'') \neq 0$  the first assertion easily follows. Now it is obvious that  $\dim \ker \mathcal{N}^2 = 18$ .

**6.9. Proposition.** *There is  $\text{im } \mathcal{N} \subset \ker \mathcal{N}^2$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\Omega \in \text{im } \mathcal{N}(\zeta) = \mathcal{D}_2(\zeta)$  then obviously  $\zeta \wedge \Omega = 0$ .

On the trivial vector bundle  $\mathcal{V}$  with fiber  $V$  over  $U_0$  we introduce a linear connection  $\nabla$ . For any vector field  $\Omega$  on  $U_0$  and any section  $S$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  we define  $\nabla_\Omega S = \Omega S$ . Obviously,  $\nabla$  induces a linear connection on every exterior power  $\Lambda^k V^*$ , which will be denoted by the same symbol. It is obvious that the same formula  $\bar{\nabla}_\Omega \bar{S} = \bar{\Omega} \bar{S}$ , where  $\bar{S}$  is a section of the trivial vector bundle  $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$  with fiber  $V$  over  $\Lambda^3 V^*$ , extends the connection  $\nabla$  to the whole vector space  $\Lambda^3 V^*$ . The connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  induces again a linear connection on the vector bundle  $\Lambda^k \bar{\mathcal{V}}^*$ , which will be denoted again by the symbol  $\bar{\nabla}$ . Let  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  be (local) vector fields on  $U_0$ , and let  $\bar{\Omega}_1$  and  $\bar{\Omega}_2$  be their (local) extensions. Because the connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  is flat, we have  $\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\Omega}_1} \bar{\Omega}_2 - \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\Omega}_2} \bar{\Omega}_1 = [\bar{\Omega}_1, \bar{\Omega}_2]$ . Restricting this formula to the submanifold  $U_0$ , we obtain the formula

$$\nabla_{\Omega_1} \Omega_2 - \nabla_{\Omega_2} \Omega_1 = [\Omega_1, \Omega_2],$$

which will be needed in the sequel.

**6.10. Lemma.** *Let  $S$  be a section of the subbundle  $\mathcal{V}_0$ , and let  $\Omega$  be a vector field on  $U_0$  lying in  $\text{im } \mathcal{N}$ . Then  $\nabla_\Omega S$  is also a section of the subbundle  $\mathcal{V}_0$ .*

*Proof.* Because  $S$  is a section of the subbundle  $\mathcal{V}_0$ , we have the relation  $(\iota_S \omega) \wedge \omega = 0$ . Applying to this relation  $\nabla_\Omega$ , we obtain

$$(\iota_{\nabla_\Omega S} \omega) \wedge \omega + (\iota_S \Omega) \wedge \omega + (\iota_S \omega) \wedge \Omega = 0.$$

It is easy to see that the second term vanishes. The last term vanishes by virtue of Lemma 6.3. Consequently, we obtain  $(\iota_{\nabla_\Omega S} \omega) \wedge \omega = 0$ , which shows that  $\nabla_\Omega S$  is a section of  $\mathcal{V}_0$ .

**6.11. Remark.** The previous lemma shows that the connection  $\nabla$  on  $\mathcal{V}$  induces a partial connection on  $\mathcal{V}_0$ , which we shall denote by the same symbol. This partial connection determines the covariant derivative  $\nabla_\Omega$  only for vector fields  $\Omega$  lying in  $\text{im } \mathcal{N}$ . This partial connection induces a partial connection on the vector bundle  $\mathcal{W}$  and on any exterior power of the vector bundles  $\mathcal{V}_0$  and  $\mathcal{W}$ . Moreover, if  $\tilde{\Omega}$  is a vector field on  $U_0$  (i. e. a section of  $\Lambda^3 \mathcal{V}^*$  such that  $\tilde{\Omega}|_{\mathcal{V}_0} = 0$ ), then for any vector field  $\Omega$  lying in  $\text{im } \mathcal{N}$  and any three sections  $S_1, S_2, S_3$  of  $\mathcal{V}_0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Omega}(S_1, S_2, S_3) &= 0 \\ \nabla_\Omega(\tilde{\Omega}(S_1, S_2, S_3)) &= 0 \\ (\nabla_\omega \tilde{\Omega})(S_1, S_2, S_3) + \tilde{\Omega}(\nabla_\Omega S_1, S_2, S_3) + \tilde{\Omega}(S_1, \nabla_\Omega S_2, S_3) + \tilde{\Omega}(S_1, S_2, \nabla_\Omega S_3) &= 0 \\ (\nabla_\Omega \tilde{\Omega})(S_1, S_2, S_3) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the partial connection  $\nabla$  induces a partial connection (again denoted by the same symbol) on  $TU_0$ . Because the original connection on  $\mathcal{V}$  is flat, we have for any two vector fields  $\Omega$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}$  lying in  $\text{im } \mathcal{N}$

$$\nabla_\Omega \tilde{\Omega} - \nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} \Omega = [\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}].$$

**6.12. Proposition.** *The distribution  $\text{im } \mathcal{N}$  is integrable.*

*Proof.* According to Proposition 6.6 there is  $\text{im } \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{D}_2$ . Let us take two vector fields  $\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}$  lying in  $\mathcal{D}_2$ , and three sections  $S_1, S_2, S_3$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  such that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  lie in  $\mathcal{V}_0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{\Omega} \tilde{\Omega})(S_1, S_2, S_3) &= \nabla_{\Omega}(\tilde{\Omega}(S_1, S_2, S_3)) - \\ - \tilde{\Omega}(\nabla_{\Omega} S_1, S_2, S_3) - \tilde{\Omega}(S_1, \nabla_{\Omega} S_2, S_3) - \tilde{\Omega}(S_1, S_2, \nabla_{\Omega} S_3) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

according to Lemma 6.10. This shows that  $\nabla_{\Omega} \tilde{\Omega}$  lies in  $\mathcal{D}_2$ . Now, it is obvious that  $[\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}] = \nabla_{\Omega} \tilde{\Omega} - \nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} \Omega$  lies in  $\mathcal{D}_2$ .

**6.13. Proposition.**  $\ker \mathcal{N} = \{\Omega \in \text{im } \mathcal{N}; \text{Tr } k(\Omega) = 0\}$  and  $\dim \ker \mathcal{N} = 9$ .

*Proof.* We shall denote for simplicity  $V_0 = V_0(\zeta)$ ,  $F = F(\zeta)$ ,  $W = W(\zeta)$ ,  $\pi = \pi(\zeta)$ . Let us notice first that for each endomorphism  $A \in \text{End}(V_0)$  there exists an endomorphism  $B \in \text{End}(V)$  (not uniquely determined) such that

$$AF = FB \quad \text{and} \quad BV_0 \subset V_0.$$

Moreover, any endomorphism  $B$  with these properties induces an endomorphism  $\tilde{B} \in \text{End}(W)$  and  $\text{Tr } \tilde{B} = \text{Tr } A$ .

Let us take now a 3-form  $\Omega \in \text{im } \mathcal{N}(\zeta) = \mathcal{D}_2(\zeta)$ . We have

$$(\mathcal{N}(\zeta)\Omega)(v, v', v'') = \Omega(Fv, v', v'') + \Omega(v, Fv', v'') + \Omega(v, v', Fv'').$$

It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{N}(\zeta)\Omega \in \mathcal{D}_1(\zeta)$ , and consequently there exists a uniquely determined 3-form  $\tilde{\Omega} \in \Lambda^3 W^*$  such that  $\mathcal{N}(\zeta)\Omega = \pi^* \tilde{\Omega}$ . Similarly, there is a 3-form  $\tilde{\zeta} \in \Lambda^3 W^*$  such that  $\mathcal{N}(\zeta)\zeta = \pi^* \tilde{\zeta}$ . We recall that the homomorphism  $\pi^* : \Lambda^3 W^* \rightarrow \Lambda^3 V^*$  is a monomorphism. Consequently  $\tilde{\zeta} \neq 0$ .

Let us take now  $A = k(\zeta)$ . Obviously for any  $v, v', v'' \in V$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(AFv, v', v'') &= \Omega(Fv, v', v''), \\ \zeta(v, AFv', v'') &= \Omega(v, Fv', v''), \\ \zeta(v, v', AFv'') &= \Omega(v, v', Fv''). \end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{N}(\zeta)\Omega)(v, v', v'') &= \Omega(Fv, v', v'') + \Omega(v, Fv', v'') + \Omega(v, v', Fv'') = \\ &= \zeta(AFv, v', v'') + \zeta(v, AFv', v'') + \zeta(v, v', AFv'') = \\ &= \zeta(FBv, v', v'') + \zeta(v, FBv', v'') + \zeta(v, v', FBv'') = \\ &= (1/3)[\zeta(FBv, v', v'') + \zeta(Bv, Fv', v'') + \zeta(Bv, v', Fv'')] + \\ &+ (1/3)[\zeta(Fv, Bv', v'') + \zeta(v, FBv', v'') + \zeta(v, Bv', Fv'')] + \\ &+ (1/3)[\zeta(Fv, v', Bv'') + \zeta(v, Fv', Bv'') + \zeta(v, v', FBv'')] = \\ &= (1/3)\tilde{\zeta}(\tilde{B}[v], [v'], [v'']) + (1/3)\tilde{\zeta}([v], \tilde{B}[v'], [v'']) + (1/3)\tilde{\zeta}([v], [v'], \tilde{B}[v'']) = \\ &= (1/3) \text{Tr}(\tilde{B})\tilde{\zeta}([v], [v'], [v'']) = (1/3) \text{Tr}(A)\zeta(v, v', v''), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\mathcal{N}(\zeta)\Omega = 0$  if and only if  $\text{Tr}(A) = 0$ .

**6.14. Lemma.** *Let  $M$  be a differentiable manifold, and let  $\xi$  be an  $n$ -dimensional differentiable vector bundle over  $M$  endowed with a linear connection  $\nabla$ . Let  $A$  be an endomorphism of the vector bundle  $\xi$ , i. e. a section of the vector bundle  $\xi^* \otimes \xi$ . Then for any vector field  $X$  on  $M$  we have*

$$\text{Tr}(\nabla_X A) = X \text{Tr}(A).$$

*Proof.* Let us choose (at least locally) a non-zero  $n$ -form  $\varepsilon$  on  $\xi$ . Then for any vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, AX_i, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n) = \text{Tr}(A) \cdot \varepsilon(X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

Let  $X$  be a vector field on  $M$ . Applying  $\nabla_X$  to the above equality, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, (\nabla_X A)X_i, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n) = (X \text{Tr}(A)) \cdot \varepsilon(X_1, \dots, X_n),$$

which implies the desired equality.

**6.15. Proposition.** *The distribution  $\ker \mathcal{N}$  is integrable.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}$  be two vector fields lying in the distribution  $\ker \mathcal{N}$ . We denote  $A = k_\Omega$  and  $\tilde{A} = k_{\tilde{\Omega}}$ . According to the previous result there is  $\text{Tr}(A) = \text{Tr}(\tilde{A}) = 0$ . For any section  $S$  of  $\mathcal{V}_0$  and any constant sections  $S', S''$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  we have

$$\omega(AS, S', S'') = \Omega(S, S', S''), \quad \omega(\tilde{A}S, S', S'') = \tilde{\Omega}(S, S', S'').$$

Applying  $\nabla_\Omega$  to the second equality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_\Omega \omega)(\tilde{A}S, S', S'') + \omega((\nabla_\Omega \tilde{A})S, S', S'') + \omega(\tilde{A} \nabla_\Omega S, S', S'') &= \\ &= (\nabla_\Omega \tilde{\Omega})(S, S', S'') + \tilde{\Omega}(\nabla_\Omega S, S', S'') \\ \Omega(\tilde{A}S, S', S'') + \omega((\nabla_\Omega \tilde{A})S, S', S'') &= (\nabla_\Omega \tilde{\Omega})(S, S', S'') \\ \omega(A\tilde{A}S, S', S'') + \omega((\nabla_\Omega \tilde{A})S, S', S'') &= (\nabla_\Omega \tilde{\Omega})(S, S', S'') \\ (\nabla_\Omega \tilde{\Omega})(S, S', S'') &= \omega((A\tilde{A} + \nabla_\Omega \tilde{A})S, S', S''). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we obtain

$$(\nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} \Omega)(S, S', S'') = \omega((\tilde{A}A + \nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} A)S, S', S'').$$

Subtracting the last two equalities we have

$$[\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}](S, S', S'') = (\nabla_\Omega \tilde{\Omega} - \nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} \Omega)(S, S', S'') = \omega([A, \tilde{A}] + \nabla_\Omega \tilde{A} - \nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} A)S, S', S''),$$

which shows that

$$k_{[\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}]} = [A, \tilde{A}] + \nabla_\Omega \tilde{A} - \nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} A.$$

On any integral submanifold of the distribution  $\text{im } \mathcal{N}$  we have

$$\text{Tr}([A, \tilde{A}] + \nabla_\Omega \tilde{A} - \nabla_{\tilde{\Omega}} A) = 0 + \Omega \text{Tr}(\tilde{A}) - \tilde{\Omega} \text{Tr}(A) = 0.$$

This finishes the proof.

**6.16. Proposition.** *The distribution  $\ker \mathcal{N}^2$  is not integrable.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}$  be two vector fields on  $U_0$  lying in  $\ker \mathcal{N}^2$ . We shall apply the vector field  $\Omega$  to the relation  $\tilde{\Omega} \wedge \omega = 0$ . We get

$$(\nabla_{\Omega} \tilde{\Omega}) \wedge \omega + \tilde{\Omega} \wedge \Omega = 0.$$

Interchanging  $\Omega$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}$  and subtracting the two relations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}] \wedge \omega + \tilde{\Omega} \wedge \Omega - \Omega \wedge \tilde{\Omega} &= 0 \\ [\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}] \wedge \omega &= 2\Omega \wedge \tilde{\Omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us choose now vectors  $\alpha, \tilde{\alpha} \in T_{\omega_0} U_0$  as follows:

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_5, \quad \tilde{\alpha} = \alpha_3 \wedge \alpha_4 \wedge \alpha_6.$$

It is easy to verify that  $\Omega, \tilde{\Omega} \in \ker \mathcal{N}^2(\omega_0)$ . We choose now vector fields  $\Omega$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}$  in such a way that they lie in  $\ker \mathcal{N}^2$  and  $\Omega_{\omega_0} = \alpha$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}_{\omega_0} = \tilde{\alpha}$ . According to the above formula we have then

$$[\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}]_{\omega_0} \wedge \omega_0 = 2\alpha \wedge \tilde{\alpha} \neq 0,$$

which shows that the vector field  $[\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}]$  does not lie in  $\ker \mathcal{N}^2$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [D] Djoković, D. Ž., *Classification of trivectors of an eight-dimensional real vector space*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **13** (1983), 3-39.
- [H] Hitchin, N., *The geometry of three-forms in six dimensions*, J. Diff. Geom. **55** (2000), 547-576.
- [V] Vanžura, J., *Characterization of one type of multisymplectic 3-forms in odd dimensions*, to appear in Proc. 23th Winter School "Geometry and Physics", Srní January 18-25, 2003, Suppl. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo.
- [PV] Panák, M.; Vanžura, J., *3-forms and almost complex structures on 6-dimensional manifolds*, to appear, see arXiv:math.DG/0305312.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, CHARLES UNIVERSITY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 00  
PRAGUE, CZECH REPUBLIC  
*E-mail address:* jburess@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE CZECH REPUBLIC, ŽIŽKOVA  
22, 616 62 BRNO, CZECH REPUBLIC  
*E-mail address:* vanzura@ipm.cz